## Case 2:21-cr-00212-TLN Document 45 Filed 08/10/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney CAMERON L. DESMOND Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
2		
3		
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
6		
7 8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00212-TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
13	v.	
14	ELAN MOSHE,	DATE: August 11, 2022
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19		
20	1. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until August	
21	25, 2022 at 9:30 a.m., set the case for a change of plea on that date, and to exclude time between August	
22	11, 2022, and August 25, 2022, under Local Code T4.	
23	2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case
25	includes multiple reports and has been produced to the defendant.	
26	b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to research sentencing guidelines	
27	issues and potential exposure, conduct independent factual investigation, and otherwise prepare	
28	for trial.	

## Case 2:21-cr-00212-TLN Document 45 Filed 08/10/22 Page 2 of 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- c) Counsel for defendant has been caught up in multiple state hearings and trials, which has impacted his ability to review evidence and prepare for trial in this case. He is in trial the week of August 8, 2022, including on August 11, 2022.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 11, 2022 to August 25, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 9, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ CAMERON L. DESMOND
CAMERON L. DESMOND
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: August 9, 2022 /s/ Phillip Cozens

Phillip Cozens Counsel for Defendant ELAN MOSHE IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 10<sup>th</sup> day, August 2022

FINDINGS AND ORDER

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge